



Atelier scRNA-seq

Technology for scRNA-seq and data processing


Bastien Job, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif
Rémi Montagne, Institut Curie, Paris
Morgane Thomas-Chollier, IBENS - GenomiqueENS, Paris











Organisation of this session


- From cells to nucleotide sequences (reads)
 - focus on the 10X genomics technology
 - how are the reads organised
- Preprocessing : from reads to raw count matrix
 - quality check (FASTQC)
 - mapping (STAR)
 - how is annotation used
 - barcode and UMI treatment

Global overview of a scRNA-seq experiment

Tissue dissection + cell dissociation

Cell partitioning + mRNA capture

Library preparation + sequencing






Global overview of a scRNA-seq experiment

Tissue dissection + cell dissociation


Cell partitioning + mRNA capture

Library preparation + sequencing



this step enables to treat each cell separately, and capture its RNA while retaining from which cell it originates






Global overview of a scRNA-seq experiment

Tissue dissection + cell dissociation

Cell partitioning + mRNA capture

Library preparation + sequencing



various technologies developed over time for this specific step




104-


101-

100-

Throughput/cells





Year



Differences in cell isolation/partitioning: the device can be a simple plate to complex microfluidic machines


The number of cells that can be studied has grown from a handful to >10,000 in 10 years






The technology that has enabled widespread usage of scRNA-seq approach is the droplet-based approach proposed by the company 10X Genomics.







Tissue dissection + cell dissociation













Tissue dissection + cell dissociation



How is the 10X Genomics droplet-based system working?

Cell partitioning + mRNA capture









Library preparation + sequencing







Cells and gel beads arrive in the device from 2 separate channels




A single cell and a single gel bead (+ enzymes) are then encapsulated in a droplet



The gel bead is special: it is covered with molecules made of 3 parts




The black part is the **capture sequence** (to "catch" the RNA). 10X has various capture sequences. Here the sequence is polyd(T) to capture RNA that are polyadenylated polyA+.



Cell Barcode (16bp) = sequence specific to each bead (so each cell)


The green part is a 16bp sequence named "barcode". This same sequence is all over the bead. These barcodes are created by 10X and the list is available.

16




Cell Barcode (16bp) = sequence specific to each bead (so each cell)

UMI (12 bp) = sequence specific to each molecule : Unique Molecular Identifier



Cell Barcode (16bp) = sequence specific to each bead (so each cell)


UMI (12 bp) = sequence specific to each molecule: Unique Molecular Identifier



RNA


droplet

5'



The cell is then lysed within the droplet. RNA is released (but contained in the droplet). polyA+RNA are captured from the 3'end on the polyd(T) sequence


scRNA-seq with 10X targets 3' end of the transcripts






, and of this

At the end of this step, what actually comes out of the 10X Genomics device?




Cell Barcode = sequence specific to each cell

UMI = sequence specific to each molecule : Unique Molecular Identifier



Cell Barcode = sequence specific to each cell

UMI = sequence specific to each molecule





During the library preparation for short-read sequencing, there is a fragmentation step. Only the cDNA portion linked to the BC+UMI+polyd(T) is conserved for sequencing


Cell Barcode = sequence specific to each cell

Cell Barcode

UMI = sequence specific to each molecule








Cell Barcode = sequence specific to each cell


UMI = sequence specific to each molecule




The library is sequenced in paired-end. The read 1 contains the BC+UMI (28bp). The read2 contains a 90bp portion of cDNA. Only read2 corresponds to genomic/biological DNA. Read1 stems from synthetic molecules, not the transcriptome.

10X scRNA-seq in a nutshell

Tissue dissection + cell dissociation




Cell partitioning + mRNA capture



Library preparation + sequencing



Paired-end 28bp /90 bp



Biases/limitations of 10X Genomics technology

- Only the 3' end is sequenced (with short-read protocol + 3' kit)
- Max 10,000 cells (but millions on the newer device Chromium X)
- Cell size < 30um otherwise clog microfluidic channels
- 30% polyA+ transcripts captured per cell
- A droplet may contain 2 cells (= doublet)
- Some cell sub-population may be completely depleted/unfound

Considerations on experiments

- **Fresh cells**: time between dissociation and 10X experiment should be <30min, otherwise cells start to die and result in RNAs wrongly assigned to cells (RNA "soup") and many expressed genes linked to cell death
- Frozen cells : does not work on all cells
- **FFPE**: only in human + mouse, restricted to certain tissues
- Dissociation + Fixation with ACME protocol (acetic acid + methanol + glycerol): requires optimisation but successful on exotic species (GenomiqueENS)
- Charge a bit more cells (25,000)
- Many tests have been done on PBMCs (immune cells) that are natively dissociated.
 Results do not necessarily reproduce on cells dissociated from tissues
- Q&A section of 10X website is very informative:
 https://kb.10xgenomics.com/hc/en-us/categories/360000149952-Single-Cell-Gene-Expression

ACME: García-Castro et al 2021: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-021-02302-5



Which result file(s) did you obtain from the sequencing core facility?





Cell Ranger · pbmc_1k_v3 · Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a healthy donor

SUMMARY ANALYSIS




Sequencin	g
Number of Reads	66,601,887
Valid Barcodes	97.4%
Sequencing Saturation	70.8%





Raw data are the sequence reads. Then the bioinformatics analysis are in 2 phases:
Primary (= preprocessing) and secondary




Cell Ranger is the program developed by 10X Genomics to perform the primary analysis (and a bit of secondary)


CellRanger

10

GENOMICS*




What is a count matrix?



The processing steps that are often overlooked





Primary analyses: Reads



Primary analyses



- Results starts by a BCL file (raw base calling from the sequencer). This file needs to be treated to produce the FASTQ files containing the reads
- This steps is done by the program bcl2fastq from Illumina (step "mkfastq" in CellRanger)

this is a detail, we just indicate it here in case you read further about Cell Ranger and step upon the

notion of BCL

Primary analyses: Reads quality checking




Primary analyses



2 FASTQ files:

- one contains all the read1
- one contains all the read2

Paired-end 28bp /90 bp








- Dataset name: pbmc_1k_v3 => 1000 human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in human, freely available from 10X genomics website
- 2 files :
 - pbmc_1k_v3_S1_L001_R1_001.fastq.gz
 - o pbmc_1k_v3_S1_L001_R2_001.fastq.gz

10X provides other public datasets, for each application, chemistry...

Primary analyses: Reads quality checking






- As for any other NGS experiment, check the quality of the reads with FASTQC.
- FastqScreen enables to check for contaminations with other organisms
- These steps are usually done by the sequencing core facility, ask for these results if not provided



Reads quality filtering





№FastQC Report


Thu 6 Oct 2022 2022-006sc_S1_L001_R1_001.fastq.gz

Summary

- Basic Statistics
- Per base sequence quality
- Per tile sequence quality
- Per sequence quality scores
- Per base sequence content
- Per sequence GC content
- Per base N content
- Common Langth Distribution



Measure	Value		
Filename	2022-006sc_S1_L001_R1_001.fastq.gz		
File type	Conventional base calls		
Encoding	Sanger / Illumina 1.9		
Total Sequences	494792037		
Sequences flagged as poor quality	0		
Sequence length	28		
%GC	49		




Read1: 28bp 494M reads




Reads quality filtering





Per base sequence quality




quality is excellent




Reads quality filtering





3 Sequence Duplication Levels




normal to have duplication level because some BC+UMI have amplification biaises



Reads quality filtering







Measure	Value	
Filename	2022-006sc_S1_L001_R2_001.fastq.gz	
File type	Conventional base calls	
Encoding	Sanger / Illumina 1.9	
Total Sequences	494792037	
Sequences flagged as poor quality	0	
Sequence length	90	
%GC	46	




Read2: 90bp 494M reads



Reads quality
filtering
FastQ

quality is excellent

Per base sequence quality



44



In CellRanger report

Reads quality filtering FastQ

Sequencing




Number of Reads	66,601,887
Valid Barcodes	97.4%
Sequencing Saturation	70.8%
Q30 Bases in Barcode	94.1%
Q30 Bases in RNA Read	90.2%
Q30 Bases in Sample Index	91.1%
Q30 Bases in UMI	92.7%

check the "sequencing" section of the report. The Q30 means "very high quality of bases"


Primary analyses: Reads quality checking

Reads quality
filtering
FastQ

- Make sure read1 is of high quality because it contains the BC + UMI, later used to trace back the cell from which originates the RNA
- Ns and highly repeated sequences would impair read assignment.
- Any wrong base => lost read and barcode









- Read1 and Read2 are then treated separately
- Read2 corresponds to genomic sequence => mapping step (=infer the position on the genome from which the read originates)




read2 : 90 bp








Human chromosomes















The best alignment is found for this read over the whole genome. Here it is on chromosome 5







To precise the localisation of the best alignment, a coordinate system is used. First, each position of the chromosome has a particular value, corresponding to its distance from the beginning of the chromosome





The region of alignment has a **start** and **end** position + strand orientation





Genomic coordinates:

chr5 1


125326318

125326358 -




The genomic coordinates is like "GPS coordinates" to locate regions on a genome. The format is :

chromosome start end strand



- The mapping step enables to obtain the genomic coordinates of all reads2 for which an alignment has been found.
- The output file is in **BAM** format
- Not all reads can be aligned (contaminations, differences between the sample and reference genome, ...)
- Programs that perform this mapping step are often called "mappers"



- CellRanger internally uses STAR as the program to align the reads on the reference genome
- The reference genome must be provided in the form of an index
- Ready-to-use genomes index:
 - o human (hg19, GRCh38)
 - o mouse (mm10)
 - both (xenografts)
- For other organisms :
 - Use the genome in FASTA format
 - o convert it with *cellranger mkgtf* and *cellranger mkref*.
- If you use some specific sequences (transgenes), don't forget to provide the sequence and rebuild the index! (otherwise, no reads will be mapped to this region)




read2







working with user-specific sequences or genomes requires more work because the genome index must be built (computer-intensive)

STAR deals with RNA splicing, a read can be artificially "cut" to map to distant regions from which it originates (=different exons)












In CellRanger report



Mapping




Reads Mapped to Genome	95.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Genome	92.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intergenic Regions	4.8%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intronic Regions	31.1%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Exonic Regions	56.5%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Transcriptome	53.7%
Reads Mapped Antisense to Gene	1.0%




It is normal to have <100% reads aligned to the genome, because the reference genome is not exactly the genome of the studied sample. % will decrease with huge rearrangements (cancer or cell lines) or many SNPs (wild animals)


- Then, the genome annotation is used to assign the reads to genes
- Annotation is provided by genome portals (NCBI, Ensembl, UCSC) or consortiums of researchers working on a same organism
- genome annotation is generally provided as a file in the format GFF or GTF




How is the genome reference annotation used?



How is the genome reference annotation used?




How is the genome reference annotation used?






In CellRanger report



Mapping		
Reads Mapped to Genome	95.4%	Unassigned
Reads Mapped Confidently to Genome	92.4%	reads
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intergenic Regions	4.8%	- Gene
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intronic Regions	31.1%	
Reads Mapped Confidently to Exonic Regions	56.5%	
Reads Mapped Confidently to Transcriptome	53.7%	3
Reads Mapped Antisense to Gene	1.0%	- Gene 3





Important point on annotation


- Annotation is a crucial parameter (largely underestimated), as reads outside the annotated exons will not be taken into account!
- CellRanger will warn you on the report with the Alert below. In such cases, you
 need to visualise your signal in a genome browser (more on this tomorrow)
 and suspect the annotation may be problematic

Alerts


The analysis detected 🛕 1 warning.

	Alert	Value	Detail
A	Low Fraction Reads	51.5%	Ideal > 30%. This can indicate use of the wrong reference transcriptome, a reference transcriptome with
	Confidently Mapped To		overlapping genes, poor library quality, poor sequencing quality, or reads shorter than the recommended
	Transcriptome		minimum. Application performance may be affected.

Primary analyses: barcode and UMI



- Read1 is made of BC + UMI
- Barcode and UMI are treated separately



minder : barcode


Reminder: barcode enables to trace back the read to the cell of origin; UMI enables to distinguish each individual molecule


Cell Barcode (16bp) = sequence specific to each bead (so each cell)

UMI (12 bp) = sequence specific to each molecule: Unique Molecular Identifier

Primary analyses: barcode

- Barcode is extracted (16bp)
- 10X provides a whitelist containing all possible barcodes used on the gel beads (~3 million barcodes for the v3 chemistry)
- All barcodes are compared to this whitelist
- Correction: barcodes with 1 difference (1 mismatch) from the whitelist are corrected.
- Filtering: keep only BC in the whitelist.







In CellRanger report

Sequencing		
Number of Reads	66,601,887	
Valid Barcodes	97.4%	
Sequencing Saturation	70.8%	
Q30 Bases in Barcode	94.1%	
Q30 Bases in RNA Read	90.2%	
Q30 Bases in Sample Index	91.1%	
Q30 Bases in UMI	92.7%	




% of valid barcodes is indicated in the report

Primary analyses: UMI

BC UMI
28bp


- UMI is extracted (12bp)
- UMI are randomised sequences, there is no whitelist
- Correction: UMI with 1 difference (1 mismatch) from a higher-count UMI are corrected to the higher count UMI if they share a cell barcode.
- Filtering: remove incorrect UMIs:
 - homopolymers (e.g. AAAAAAAAA)
 - Contains 1 or several N
 - contains any base with BASEQ < 10




UMI aims at correcting amplification artefacts (more details tomorrow)

Last step: generation of the count matrix





Overview of the workflow for primary analysis



Take-home messages

- **Primary analysis is important!** If this step has issues, the resulting count matrix will have issues that will be propagated to all downstream analyses
- These steps are often overlooked
- **Cell Ranger**: program provided by 10X Genomics that perform primary analysis (and a bit more). Cell Ranger is reliable but it is necessary to understand what it does and its limits
- You will hear that "the raw data is the count matrix" => this is wrong,
 remember the raw data are the reads
- Only read2 is mapped to the genome; read1 is synthetic Barcode+UMI
- Alternative ways to perform primary analysis exist

Acknowledgements

Some illustrations were created by Nathalie Lehmann